

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,214	07/24/2000	Hai Pin Kuo	11509 B	6439
7590 03/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Charles E Bax	ley	CROW, STEPHEN R		
5th Floor				
59 John Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10038			3764	13

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		▲ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	09/625,214	KUO, HAI PIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steve R Crow	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G6(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-7,9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the acceptancement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/625,214

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rodden.

Rodden discloses a treadmill having a base frame, rollers, endless belt, deck 60, cushioning members 50, and limiting means (studs) 62.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 -4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodden.

Rodden's limiting means connection to the treadmill is reverse of applicant's claims 2-3. The examiner contends that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to reverse the orientation of said parts, e.g., to have the studs

Application/Control Number: 09/625,214

Art Unit: 3764

screwed into the base frame and have the openings in the deck (with sleeves 82) for receiving the free ends of the studs.

As to claim 4, the examiner takes Judicial Notice that apertured screws are generally recognized in the connection art, and therefore the use of outer threads in the Rodden treadmill's sleeves would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to securely fastening the sleeves to the treadmill deck.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodden in view of Real et al .

Real et al discloses a treadmill deck region having casing 86 and securing means 76 for securing the casing to the deck for protecting the peripheral portion of the deck. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to likewise provide the Rodden treadmill with safety casings for protecting the deck and for user safety purposes.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-7,9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R Crow whose telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

Moron